Ungulate paper comments

Good

-understood very easily

-very readable

-abstract flows; more straightforward story with

-lot more useful information; writing is clear and flows logically

-will be read by managers

Improvements:

-explain a little more – look at Miranda’s comments, Puerto Rico example

-methods – plots under same cover and substrate

-left in on the logscale might look not so outlier-y

-make note about pig chart outlier

-fix figure 2

-move around things in the intro – look at Haldre’s comments – negative, positive, how management decisions are problematic

-analysis divided amongst methods

-what is Table 4? Find and delete

-spell out analysis, what are predictor and response variables

-make it really clear the proportion of seeds that were native vs. non-native in scat germination

-biggest push back might be pig damage, can be put in discussion

-conservation and mgmt. pitch, what is ecological significance, look at Evan’s suggestions in intro, give people #’s on invasives, sell it better: what types of species might pass through guts of animals vs others, gut passage importance, community assembly

-potential that people who read last paragraph of introduction might have different picture of what this paper is about – be more specific so as not to give false expectations for what paper is about – can do this in the abstract as well

-general things about spacing and line number

-as for figure 2, maybe explain why you have dry and wet season

-figure 2 – 95%CI vs se

-you can copy r metafile and move things around

-figure-making tips from Evan, maximizing information for amt of ink, will email